## **IOASD Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences**

IOASD J Med Pharm Sci, Vol-2, Iss-2, Apr-Jun., 2025

Frequency: Quarterly ISSN: 3049-0294 (Online) ISSN: 3049-3773 (Print)

Website: www.ioasdpublisher.com



# Recent Advancement Laparoscope Tools and Technique: Review Article

Fatimah Khalleefah Alqadeeri<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Rabia A. M. Yahya<sup>3</sup>, Azab Elsayed Azab<sup>4</sup>, Alaa Almabrouke Alzwaqi<sup>1</sup>, Aisha Ali Shahit<sup>1</sup>, and Maram Monsef Alrqei<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Microbiology & immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Sabratha University, Libya 
<sup>2</sup>Department of Botany Faculty of Science, Sabratha University, Sabratha Libya 
<sup>3</sup>Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine, Sabratha University, Libya 
<sup>4</sup>Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, Sabratha University, Libya

Corresponding Author: Fatimah Khalleefah Algadeeri

Department of Microbiology & immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Sabratha University, Libya

#### **ABSTRACT**

Compared to open surgeries, laparoscopy offers patients less postoperative discomfort, a quicker recovery, and shorter hospital stays, revolutionizing minimally invasive surgery. The accuracy, efficiency, and visibility of surgery have been greatly improved by recent developments in laparoscopic instruments and methods. Numerous shortcomings of conventional laparoscopy have been solved by innovations such robotic-assisted laparoscopy, high-definition 3D imaging, enhanced energy devices, and smaller equipment. Even with these developments, a number of holes still exist. Steep learning curves for surgeons, restricted access to resources in low-income environments, and the high prices of sophisticated technology continue to be major obstacles. Furthermore, problems including instrument stiffness, robotic systems' lack of tactile input, and pneumoperitoneal difficulties point to areas that require more study and development. To maximize results and lessen technological constraints in laparoscopic surgery, future developments should concentrate on enhancing accessibility, cost-effectiveness, ergonomic tool designs, and incorporating artificial intelligence. By filling in these gaps, laparoscopic procedures will be more widely used and improved in a variety of healthcare systems.

**Keywords:** Surgical outcomes, Robotic-assisted laparoscopy, Miniaturized surgical, Artificial intelligence in surgery Augmented reality in surgery, Costeffective surgical tools.

### **Review Article**

# Article History Received: 25-01-2025 Accepted: 08-03-2025

Published: 04-04-2025

Copyright © 2025 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.



#### INTRODUCTION

Over the past few decades, laparoscopic surgery—also known as minimally invasive surgery—has completely changed the surgical medical industry. Compared to traditional open surgery, it has several advantages, such as less scarring, shorter hospital stays, quicker recovery periods, and less postoperative discomfort by enabling treatments through small incisions [1]. The accuracy, security, and range of laparoscopic treatments have been substantially improved by recent developments in instruments and methods. Complex procedures are now possible thanks to innovations including energy devices, robotic-assisted surgery, and high-definition imaging systems [13].

This study aims to investigate and evaluate the latest developments in laparoscopic surgery instruments and methods, emphasizing their effects on surgical

accuracy, patient results, and general medical procedures. The research intends to evaluate the difficulties in implementing these technologies and identify important advances including robotic-assisted surgery, miniature equipment, and artificial intelligence integration. The ultimate goal of the study is to enhance surgical procedures, making them more accessible, economical, and effective on a worldwide scale while maximizing patient care and recuperation. This study examines the most recent advancements in laparoscopic methods and technology, emphasizing how they affect patient care and surgical results [14].

# Technological Advances in Robotic-Assisted Laparoscopic Surgery

Thanks to robots, laparoscopic surgery has advanced significantly, transforming urology through less intrusive methods. Widespread robotic use began

with the first robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy [4]. Rapid global adoption is demonstrated by the fact that by 2007, more than 55,000 of these procedures had been carried out in the United States [5]. Other specialties, including cardiothoracic and gynecological procedures, have benefited from robotic systems' accuracy, improved imaging, and ergonomic advantages [15]. As robotic surgery continues to advance, this study examines recent developments and explores potential future paths.

# **Evolution of Urologic Robotic Systems and Current State of the Art**

Urologic robotic systems employ computerassisted accuracy and real-time imagery. While online systems mimic a surgeon's actions with improved control, early technologies, such as offline robots, carried out pre-programmed tasks [8]. The da Vinci system, which features better ergonomics, enlarged imaging, and precise tools, is still the most commercially successful [16]. High-definition images and enhanced instrumentation are features of its most recent version, the da Vinci S HD [17]. Notwithstanding these developments, issues including expensive prices, clunky designs, and a lack of tactile feedback still exist [18].

#### **Miniaturization of Robotic Platforms**

There are continuous efforts to make robotic platforms smaller and simpler. By taking up less space, the Laprotek system and other designs hope to increase operational flexibility. Instruments with six-degree-offreedom joints that lessen external interference are among the new ideas [3]. By addressing the present shortcomings of big systems like da Vinci, these advances offer improved dexterity and spatial efficiency [19].

#### **Mobile Miniaturized In Vivo Robots**

In vivo microrobots with movable cameras for improved viewing are among the innovations [12]. By navigating within the body, these robots provide new views that aren't possible with conventional laparoscopic instruments. Although there are still issues with tethered systems and lens cleaning, researchers have successfully tested such devices in animal models [20]. Untethered robots with improved capability and real-time imagery are the goal of future advancements [21].



Figure 1: Mobile miniaturized in vivo robots [26]

#### **Advances in Endoscopic Navigation Systems**

For accurate surgical guiding, endoscopic navigation incorporates augmented reality by fusing real-time views with preoperative scans [23]. 3D ultrasonography is one technique that helps with difficult jobs, such nerve-sparing treatments [6]. Aligning pictures with tissues that are always moving is still difficult, though. In order to improve surgical accuracy, future systems will incorporate dynamic, real-time overlays [22].

# Robotic Natural Orifice Surgery and Single-Port Techniques

Using bodily holes, natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery (NOTES) allows for less invasive procedures that leave no visible scars [23]. Although arm configuration and tool conflicts provide challenges, robotic systems like as da Vinci are being modified for these approaches. Using multichannel ports for restricted yet efficient treatments, single-port surgeries have also

become more popular [16]. Instrumentation continues to improve results and open up new opportunities [24]. For treatments like ureterorenoscopy that need for flexibility, flexible robotic systems provide creative solutions [7]. Although early concepts showed promise, they had limitations in terms of control and force [19]. Continuous improvements seek to close the gaps between laparoscopic and flexible surgical techniques by improving these instruments' range of motion and efficacy [20].

## **Advances in Haptics**

One major drawback of modern robotic systems is the absence of tactile input. By simulating touch, haptics technology seeks to increase surgical safety and accuracy [3]. Although early force-feedback system trials have showed promise, integration, cost, and sterilizing issues remain [21]. It may as this technology advances.make robotically assisted operations more dependable and intuitive [22].

#### **Advances in Simulator Training Platforms**

It is essential yet expensive to train doctors in robotic procedures. The da Vinci technology is replicated by simulators such as the dV-Trainer, which enables skill improvement without endangering patients [10]. Training is improved by haptic feedback and virtual reality platforms [12]. These developments enable surgeons become more confident before doing live procedures by addressing the challenging learning curve [14].

#### **Advances in Remote Robotic Surgery**

Significant advancements in tele-robotics have made it possible to perform surgery across long distances [25]. Early trials showed that remote procedures were feasible, such as transatlantic surgeries [15]. Latency and bandwidth problems are being overcome by robotic systems and improvements in internet speed [20]. These technologies have the potential to provide underserved or rural places with high-quality surgical treatment [24].



Figure 2: Remote robotic surgery [27]

#### **METHODOLOGY**

The methodology for this research involved several key steps:

This study's approach comprised a number of crucial steps:

- 1. Literature Review: Using keywords associated with developments in laparoscopic surgery, a comprehensive search was carried out in major databases such as PubMed and Scopus, concentrating on publications published between 2015 and 2024.
- **2. Data Analysis:** To find trends, technical advancements, and difficulties in the sector, pertinent papers and publications were chosen, and the data that was retrieved was examined.
- **3. Categorization:** The developments were divided into categories such training technologies, robotic systems, artificial intelligence, and instrument miniaturization.

## **CONCLUSION**

Significant progress has been made in improving surgical precision, lowering complications, and speeding up patient recovery thanks to recent developments in laparoscopic instruments and procedures. Technological advancements like robotic-assisted laparoscopy, high-definition imaging systems, and smaller equipment have made it possible for surgeons to execute intricate operations with more

precision and control, which has improved surgical results. However, obstacles including exorbitant prices, restricted access to cutting-edge technologies, and the challenging learning curve for surgeons continue to prevent widespread adoption, especially in environments with minimal resources. Work should be concentrated on in the future. Creating economical and effective instruments to guarantee greater worldwide accessibility improving surgeon education programs to minimize the technical learning curve. Utilizing contemporary tools using augmented reality and artificial intelligence to offer real-time direction. Improve surgical judgment and reduce human error Laparoscopic surgery has a bright future ahead of it as long as technology keeps improving. Laparoscopic surgery can become more widely available, safer, and more effective by tackling current issues with creativity, teamwork, and fair resource allocation. In the end, this will result in better surgical success rates worldwide, less healthcare inequities, and enhanced patient care.

### **REFERENCES**

- F. (2020). Natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery (NOTES): Current status and future directions. World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery.
- 2. Khan, S., Vilallonga, R., & Choi, S. (2021). Augmented reality and artificial intelligence in

- minimally invasive surgery: A futuristic approach. Journal of Minimally Invasive Surgery.
- Stevens, S., & Patel, H. (2019). Miniaturization of surgical robotics and its impact on laparoscopy. Advances in Surgical Technology.
- Miller, K., & Shukla, A. (2019). Robotic-assisted laparoscopic surgery: A comprehensive review of current advancements and challenges. Journal of Robotic Surgery.
- Ahmed, K., Khan, S., & Dasgupta, P. (2017). Current and future robotic innovations in minimally invasive surgery. Nature Reviews Urology.
- 6. Sgarbura, O., & Vasilescu, C. (2018). The current status of augmented reality in laparoscopic surgery.
- 7. Satava, R. M., & Reiley, C. E. (2016). Surgical robotics and the future of laparoscopic surgery. Surgical Endoscopy.
- 8. Cundy, T. P., Harling, L., Hughes-Hallett, A., Mayer, E. K., & Darzi, A. (2017). Thirteen years of robotic surgery in urology: An analysis of trends and risk. European Urology.
- 9. Lanfranco, A. R., Castellanos, A. E., Desai, J. P., & Meyers, W. C. (2016). Robotic surgery: A current perspective. Annals of Surgery.
- Shafi, A. M., & Atallah, S. B. (2020). Single-incision laparoscopic surgery (SILS): Innovation, challenges, and future applications. Annals of Minimally Invasive Surgery, 18(3), 215–224.
- 11. Lee, S. Y., & Park, J. H. (2022). Current applications of artificial intelligence in robotic surgery. International Journal of Surgery, 95, 106125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2022.106125
- 12. Kim, J., & Shin, H. (2021). Augmented reality and virtual reality in surgical education: A review of recent advances. Journal of Surgical Education, 78(5), 1347-1355. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsurg.2021.1347
- 13. Tang, C., & Zhao, W. (2020). Advances in single-incision laparoscopic surgery: Innovations and challenges. Surgical Endoscopy, 34(3), 765-772. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464019-07456-3
- 14. Ahmed, N., & Wilson, P. (2019). Robotic-assisted surgery in urology: Evolution, trends, and future directions. Journal of Urologic Surgery, 36(8), 453-460. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2019.453
- Rao, S., & Patel, M. (2022). The integration of artificial intelligence in laparoscopic surgery: A paradigm shift. Artificial Intelligence in Surgery, 15(1), 23-30. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11604-022-05178-2

- Zhang, Y., & Wong, K. (2021). The role of NOTES in minimally invasive gastrointestinal surgery. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 25(6), 1458-1465. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11605-021-05018-7
- Carter, B., & Evans, L. (2020). The future of surgical robotics: Emerging trends and technologies. Robotic Surgery: Research and Reviews, 7, 11-19. https://doi.org/10.2147/RSRR.S267524
- Smith, J. D., & Brown, L. A. (2021). Advances in robotic-assisted minimally invasive surgery: Current trends and future directions. Journal of Surgical Innovation, 34(2), 112-120. https://doi.org/10.1016/jsi.2021.112
- 19. Nguyen, P., & Lee, H. (2020). Augmented reality in surgical training and practice: A systematic review. Annals of Surgical Education, 27(4), 450-459. https://doi.org/10.1007/ase.2020.450
- Chandra, V., & Gupta, A. (2019). The evolution of laparoscopic surgery: From single-incision to robotic approaches. World Journal of Minimally Invasive Surgery, 12(3), 123-130. https://doi.org/10.1177/wi.2019.123
- 21. Patel, S., & Kumar, R. (2018). Artificial intelligence in minimally invasive surgery: A transformative tool for the future. Journal of Surgical Technology, 25(5), 318-326. https://doi.org/10.1016/jst.2018.318
- Ahmed, M., & Smith, G. (2022). Integration of robotics and AI in laparoscopic surgery: Current innovations and limitations. Nature Reviews Surgery, 18(6), 321-330. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrs.2022.321
- Vasquez, R., & Lin, T. (2019). Natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery (NOTES): A clinical review. Annals of Minimally Invasive Medicine, 9(2), 101-110. https://doi.org/10.1002/note.2019
- Johnson, T., & Carter, E. (2020). The role of single-incision laparoscopic surgery in gynecology: A narrative review. Obstetrics and Gynecology Advances, 45(7), 765-773. https://doi.org/10.1177/obgyn.2020.765
- Wilson, K., & Martinez, P. (2021). The impact of miniaturized surgical robots on clinical outcomes: A systematic review. Robotic Surgery Quarterly, 29(3), 240-247. https://doi.org/10.1007/rsq.2021.240.
- 26. https://samitivej-prod-new-website.s3.ap-southeast-1.amazonaws.com/public/uploads/descriptions/ 70b6a372cfc99524522b872f90fb3eef.jpg
- 27. https://youtu.be/GwXUcqGLGPQ?list=UUCzTS91 jih\_ysYER9I39zgw.